

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/650,481	08/29/2000	Curtis Wong	MS155614.1	8554	
27195	27195 7590 07/14/2004			EXAMINER	
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114			SHANG, ANNAN Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614	10	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advison Action	09/650,481	WONG ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Annan Q Shang	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	<u> </u>				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		79 Zulla			
JOHN MILLER					
		ORY PATENT EXAMINER OLOGY CENTER 2600			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/650,481

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art of record Hirata (6,374,406) is silent regarding the feature of a token with a multi-level data structure with a plurality of fields that stores different types of information that identifies pertinent audio and/or visual program information for a corresponding segement of broadcast information so as to enable device to add program criteria to a program list for recording at future date and time..., Examiner disagrees since Hirata's electronic mail on which a control command is interpolated and transmitted over a network, performs an equivalent function, such as identifying a program and enabling also recording, as the claimed token by Applicant and also as defined in specification. Examiner, admits the microsoft definition do not necessary corresponds to Applicant definition of a token, however, the fact remains that the e-mail description by Hirata is indeed functionally equivalent to the token as claimed, i.e., sent over a network, travels between devices, identifies a program to be recorded by identifying the channel, date and time for recording of a program.